

OCT 27 2006

U.S. Serial No. 10/521,954  
Response to Restriction Requirement

Page 5 of 5

**REMARKS**

Applicants elect Species A (Figs. 8 and 9). Claims 1, 2, 6-11, 15, and 16 are deemed generic. Claims 3, 12, and 17 are specific to the elected species, while claims 4, 5, 13, 14, and 18 are specific to the non-elected Species B of Figs. 6 and 7. This election is made with traverse since the Examiner indicates that the species "have different physical structures, wherein each species would require a different search." However, there is no indication of different classes or subclasses to be searched, and applicants therefore believe there is no burden on the Office to search both species. Applicants reserve the right to pursue the non-elected species by way of a continuing/divisional application claiming priority from the present application, or another continuing application claiming priority from the present application.

Applicants await substantive examination.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN,  
MINNICH & McKEE, LLP

27 October 2006  
Date

Timothy E. Nauman  
Timothy E. Nauman  
Reg. No. 32,283  
1100 Superior Avenue, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Cleveland, OH 44114-2579  
216-861-5582

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**